

CLANDESTINE SERVICE SENIOR SEMINAR

CRITIQUE

STATINTL

1. As you know, the first four days of the Seminar were designed as a sort of backdrop to the problem-tackling weeks, providing: a. a brief survey of developments since World War II and of present international conditions, with particular emphasis on those forces and trends which pose problems for U. S. national objectives and security; b. a look at ourselves and prospects for the future -- the CS in a changing world; and c. an up-to-date and candid look at "the national security process" and the CS role therein. Did you find this portion of the Seminar useful?

- a. No _____
- b. Yes, to a slight degree _____
- c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____
- d. Yes, to a good degree _____
- e. Yes, to an exceptional degree X

2. Quite apart from the CS Senior Seminar, do you think that a brief (2 or 3 day) seminar on The CS in a Changing World along the same lines as this backdrop portion would be useful and interesting to other senior CS officers?

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Two

- a. No _____
- b. Yes, to a slight degree _____
- c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____
- d. Yes, to a good degree X
- e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____

3. Unfortunately, agenda changes and other factors beyond our control did not permit the timely dissemination of complete reading kits. Except for receiving reading material in piecemeal fashion, did you find the documents useful to your consideration of the problems discussed?

- a. No _____
- b. Yes, to a slight degree ?
- c. Yes, to a moderate degree _____
- d. Yes, to a good degree _____
- e. Yes, to an exceptional degree _____

4. Do you have any suggestions for changes or improvements in the techniques of the Seminar, e.g., in the method of preparing the papers, the handling of outside contributions by guest speakers, etc.?


*Too much of an
OTR approach. more
selective reading - and benefit -
would have been better.
Pre exercise reading, time is limited
and much of a advance material
was not appropriate subject
just exposure of material.*

*Too many crkts spent too long -
Have people to draft and then few
and then submit to group.*

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Three

5. Do you have any suggestions for changes and improvements in the administration of the Seminar, including space and location?

Having the chance of 4 days allows me to have
speakers who in the normal course of events would not go
+  or anywhere else.

6. Do you think the size of the group was appropriate? If not, please explain.

Should be no larger. In my opinion
the group was just the right size. More sub-
committee type work.

7. Do you think that Seminar members were well selected to meet the requirements placed on the Seminar? If not, do you have any suggestions for improving the composition of any such future Seminar?

ILLEGIB

be selected

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Four

8. Did you find the Seminar topics pertinent and challenging?
What topics would you have included as "vital, current problems of
the CS?"

ILLEGIB

9. Do you have any other comments on the Seminar which might be
useful as a basis for improvement or modification of any future Seminar?

Too many subjects for so short a time